The Trieste galaxy formation group

P. Monaco, F. Fontanot, G. De Lucia, G. Murante, S. Borgani, G. Granato, L. Silva

- Semi-analytic models (De Lucia, Fontanot, Monaco + Xie, Zoldan)
- Hydro simulations of galaxy formation (Murante, Monaco, Borgani + Viel, Mongardi, Villaescusa-Navarro)
- Hydro simulations of galaxy clusters (Borgani, Granato + Rasia, Biffi)
- Radiative transfer on a dusty ISM (Granato, Silva)

Semi-analytic models vs Herschel SFR functions



Figure 1. IR+UV SFRF estimated through the $1/V_{max}$ method in six representative redshift bins, by combining the data from the PEP GOODS-S and COSMOS fields using the Avni & Bahcall (1980) technique (black filled circles). The black solid line represents our best fit to our data with a modified Schechter function, while the yellow solid line is the total IR LF (without excluding AGN contribution through SED decomposition) obtained by Gruppioni et al. (2013), converted to an SFRF. The SFRFs of 24 µm sources with $log(M/M_{\odot}) > 10$ in the GOODS-S by Fontanot et al. (2012) are plotted for comparison as black open squares. The SAMS predictions are shown as purple dotted (R-SAM), sea-green short-dashed (MORGANA), blue dot–dashed (De Lucia & Blaizot 2007) and deep-pink long-dashed (Henriques et al. 2015) coloured lines.

Gruppioni et al 2015, MNRAS 451, 3419

Predicting the Far IR SEDs of simulated galaxies

P. Monaco, University of Trieste and INAF-OATs

with: G. Murante, D. Goz, P. Barai, S. Borgani, K. Dolag, G. De Lucia, M.Viel, A. Ragagnin, A. Curir

Papers:

- Murante, P.M., Giovalli, Borgani & Diaferio, 2010, MNRAS 405, 1491
- P.M., Murante, Borgani & Dolag, 2011, MNRAS 421, 2485
- Murante, Calabrese, De Lucia, P.M., Borgani & Dolag, 2012, ApJ 749, L34
- Murante, P.M., Borgani, Tornatore, Dolag & Goz, 2015, MNRAS 447, 178
- Goz, P.M., Murante & Curir, 2015, MNRAS 447, 1774
- Barai, P.M., Murante, Ragagnin, Viel, 2015, MNRAS 447, 266
- Goz, P.M., Granato, Murante, Dominguez-Tenreiro, Obreja, Annunziatella, Tescari, 2016, submitted to MNRAS

A problem of resolution



physics that can be resolved in cosmological simulations physics that can be addressed with stellar evolution and an assumption on the IMF

Emergence of energy through shock waves (and radiation pressure, cosmic rays, magnetic fields...) P. Monaco, SPICA-Safari Italian meeting, 4-5 April 2016 MUlti-Phase Particle Integrator (MUPPI): a novel sub-resolution model for star formation and feedback in SPH simulations with Gadget-3

Murante, PM et al (2012); loosely following PM (2004, MNRAS 352, 181)

- gas in multi-phase particles is composed by two phases in thermal pressure equilibrium, plus a stellar component;
- gas molecular fraction is scaled with pressure;
- the evolution of the multi-phase ISM is described by a system of ODEs;
- the system of ODEs is numerically integrated within the SPH time-step (NO equilibrium solutions);
- energy from SNe is injected into the hot diluted phase; SPH hydro is done on this phase
 - …entrainment of the cold phase…
- particles respond immediately to energy injection

Mcold=Mcool-M*-Mevap

Cold gas

molecular hydrogen atomic hydrogen

> $\dot{M}_{cool} = M_{hot}/t_{cool}$ $\dot{M}_{*} = f_{*} f_{mol} M_{cold}/t_{dyn}$

Mevap = fevap M* Mrest = frest M*

 $F_{\rm mol} = 1/(1)$

 $+P_0/P)$

restoration

itars

Mstar=M*-Mrest

Mhot=-Mcool+Mrest+Mevap P. Monaco, SPICA-Safari Italian meeting, 4-5 April 2016



z=0.00



10 kpc Hopkins+ 2014

50 kpc

Keller+ 2015

Volgesberger+ 2014



Schaye+ 2015



Stinson+ 2013



Marinacci+ 2014

Goz et al. (2016): Radiative transfer in a dusty ISM

GRASIL3D (Silva et al. 1998; Dominguez-Tenreiro et al. 2014)

- Massive stars reside in highly opaque molecular clouds
- They exit the clouds after some time tesc
- Radiative transfer is computed on the "cirrus" (diffuse) component
- Stellar emission follows Padova tracks
- Dust temperature is computed self-consistently
- Results depend on the line of sight



Input to GRASIL3D: • star & gas surface densities • star & gas metallicities star ages • H₂ fraction

[M ₀pc

 $Log(\Sigma_{star}^{0.5})$

1.3

12.3

10.2

8.2 [Gyr]

61

4.1

0.6 04 02

0.0 N -0.2 N

-0.4

-0.6

-0.8

1.0

Age



Post-process with GRASIL-3D

Cosmological volumes

Box size: 25 Mpc (H₀=72 km/s/Mpc), N. particles: 2×256^3

Mgas: 5.4×10^6 M_{sun}, Mstar: 1.3×10^6 M_{sun}, softening: 0.5 physical kpc

MUPPI: phenomenological model for molecular fraction, resolved hydro at ~kpc scale

GRASIL-3D: semi-analytic modeling of molecular clouds, resolved radiative transfer calculation for the cirrus





P. Monaco, SPICA-Safari Italian meeting, 4-5 April 2016



P. Monaco, SPICA-Safari Italian meeting, 4-5 April 2016



P. Monaco, SPICA-Safari Italian meeting, 4-5 April 2016



P. Monaco, SPICA-Safari Italian meeting, 4-5 April 2016

Comparison with Herschel Reference Survey



Comparison with Herschel Reference Survey



Comparison with Herschel Reference Survey



Correlation coefficient of IR light with galaxy properties



Correlation coefficient of IR light with galaxy properties





Barai et al. (2015): Redshift Evolution of Outflow Velocity vs SFR



Redshift Evolution of Mass-Loading factor vs Halo Mass



How can we "measure" these outflows through gas lines?

Approximate procedure

Choose the three box axes as three (random) lines of sight

Select gas particles that would contribute to some line

molecular phase of MP particles \rightarrow molecular emission lines

warm (<10⁵ K) single-phase particles \rightarrow atomic absorption lines

Draw histogram of velocities

across the galaxy for molecular emission lines

on one side of the galaxy for atomic absorption lines



Red: multi-phase particles Blue: velocity of "fast" particles

line of sigh





molecular emission lines

Bologna, 2015

Red: single-phase particles Blue: velocity of "fast" particles galaxy 10781 (central 10781) Mass (Msun): stellar=8.70e+10, gas=3.35e+10, halo=4.20e+12 Rates (Msun/yr): sfr= 78.50, outflow= 44.04 Velocity (km/s): rotation= 337.5, outflow= 449.5



line of sight

Bologna, 2015

atomic absorption lines

Open for collaboration with SPICA

Our tools for predicting galaxy populations:

- semi-analytic models
- hydro simulations (of small volumes)
- GRASIL and GRASIL-3D

We can provide:

- mock catalogs with multi-band photometry
- light cones (mostly from SAMs)
- resolved properties of galaxies (simulations)

We must improve in:

- sub-resolution modeling of the molecular phase
 (D. Goz, U. Maio, P. Di Cerbo et al., work in progress)
- modeling of emission lines