## e-Rosita: Grasp

Grasp [cm² $\mathrm{deg}^{2}$ ]


Grasp of 7 e-ROSITA telescopes is $3-4 \mathrm{x}$ higher than 3 XMM-Newton telescopes in the energy range $0.3-2 \mathrm{keV}$ !

## FMI with 3u shells in X-Ray Test (reallzed in Jtaly by Media Lario!)



## PSF of FM1 with 31 shells

MM and Mirror Groups

| Target |  | Energy | PSF |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | HEW*$^{*}$ | W90 | scattering |  |
| $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{K}$ | 0.28 keV | $16.2 \operatorname{arcsec}$ |  |  | $8^{\text {th }}$ June 2011 |
| $\mathrm{Al}-\mathrm{K}$ | 1.49 keV | $16.0 \operatorname{arcsec}$ | $74.3 \operatorname{arcsec}$ | $5.1 \%$ | $6^{\text {th }}$ June 2011 |
| $\mathrm{Ag}-\mathrm{L}$ | 2.98 keV | $16.3 \operatorname{arcsec}$ | $92.8 \operatorname{arcsec}$ | $6.9 \%$ | $8^{\text {th }}$ June 2011 |
| $\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{K}$ | 5.41 keV | $17.0 \operatorname{arcsec}$ | $130.3 \operatorname{arcsec}$ | $9.5 \%$ | $10^{\text {th }}$ June 2011 |
| $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{K}$ | 8.04 keV | $15.6 \operatorname{arcsec}$ | $140.9 \operatorname{arcsec}$ | $11.8 \%$ | $8^{\text {th }}$ June 2011 |


| Group | Energy | PSF |  |  | Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | HEW* | W90 | scattering |  |
| Shells 40-54 | 1.49 keV | 13.8 arcsec | 43.5 arcsec | 2.5\% | $7^{\text {th }}$ June 2011 |
| Shells 24-39 | 1.49 keV | 17.8 arcsec | 94.6 arcsec | 5.2\% | $7^{\text {th }}$ June 2011 |
| Shells 34-39 | 1.49 keV | 19.5 arcsec | 101.6 arcsec | 9.0\% | $9^{\text {th }}$ June 2011 |
| Shells 28-33 | 1.49 keV | 17.3 arcsec | 100.6 arcsec | 8.4\% | $10^{\text {th }}$ June 2011 |
| Shells 24-27 | 1.49 keV | 16.5 arcsec | 84.5 arcsec | 3.2\% | $7^{\text {th }}$ June 2011 |
| Shells 24-27 | 5.41 keV | 20.9 arcsec | 186.7 arcsec | 14.3\% | $10^{\text {th }}$ June 2011 |

*HEW determined by using the sub-pixel resolution which is based on the detailed analysis of split events; the effective resolution from this method is approximately 5 arcsec.

## Thin glass shell oriented to Wide Field XRay Telescope


N.B.: same mirror height/FL aspect ratio $=0.07$

WFXT being proposed to NASA in the context of the RFI call (Sept 2011)- P.I. S. Murray

## Sag of the first polynomial mirror wrt a Wolter I

Profile for shell \#1


## WFXT Telescope Configuration

| Parameter | Design |
| :--- | :---: |
| Number of Modules | 3 |
| Material | Fused Silica |
| Configuration | Polynomial Profile |
| Focal Length | 5.5 m |
| MAX \& min top diameters | 0.36 \& 1.1 m |
| MAX and min mirror Length (2 <br> reflections) | $408 \& 220 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| Coating | Pt + C overcoating |
| Wall Thickness | $3-1.7$ mm |
| Number of mirror shells /module | 55 |
| Total Weight | 900 kg (3 modules including |
| structure) |  |

## WFXT On-axis effective area



Survey capabilities comparison
GRASP $=$ on-axis $A_{\text {eff }} \times 0.75 * F O V$
MERIT FACTOR FOR SURVEY= GRASP / HEW ${ }^{2}$
ROSAT CHANDRA XMM eROSITA ATHENA WFXT*

| GRASP @1 <br> keV <br> $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{deg}^{2}\right)$ |
| :--- |
| HEW @2/3 |
| FOV <br> (arcsec) |
| $\mathbf{3 0}$ |

## Challenge of thin shells with small aspect ratio

Small aspect ratio $\rightarrow$ difficulty in reaching good angular resolution because they are more sensitive to perturbing effects related to edges loads:
$>$ mechanical behavior closer to a "belt-like" configuration rather than a "tube-like"
$>$ border effect errors with a much higher weight in
 determining the PSF
> angular resolution more strongly affected by the slope errors caused by out-of-phase azimuthal errors

very short MSs show degradation 6-16 times larger with respect to long MS

## Polishing Step


> IRP 600 Machine developed by ZEEKO (UK)
$>7$ axis CNC machine tool controller

Bonnet tool can be used for:
$>$ Grolishing (grinding/polishing) coarser-higher removal rate $>$ Polishing


Shell on IRP 600 machine during a grolishing phase

## Super-polishing with pitch tool



Dedicated to:

- Micro-roughness requirement achievement ( 0.5 nm )
- Mid frequencies removing



## Result after calibration @ PANTER



Use of lon-Figuring for $\ll 5$ arcsec HEW?


Max working diameter :
54 cm in polar config. 30 cm in cartesian config.

## Example of IBF correction of a mirror

Theoretical computation


## Focusing in the hard X-ray region (> 10 keV )

$$
A_{e f f} \approx F^{2} \times \theta_{c}^{2} \times R^{2}
$$

At photon energies $>10 \mathrm{keV}$ the cut-off angles for total reflection are very small also for heavy metals
$\rightarrow$ the geometrical areas with usual focal lengths (> 10 m ) are in general negligible



## NuSTAR

## NUSTAR

Deployable Mast

Focal Plane/
Detectors
Optics


- Energy Band: 5-80 keV
- Angular Resolution: ~50" (HPD), ~10" (FWHM)
- Field of View: 13' x 13'
- Energy Resolution: 0.5 keV at $6 \mathrm{keV}, 1.0 \mathrm{keV}$ at 60 keV (FWHM)
- Maximum Flux Measurement Rate: 10,000 cts/s
- ToO response: < 48 hours
- Launch date: February 2012
- Orbit: 550 km x 600 km, 6 degree inclination


## Nustar effective Area



## The NHXM mission



